

# 6

## recommendations for better RMOAs

CLARIFY  
the RMOA scope

1

SPECIFY  
clear data requirements

2

INVOLVE  
stakeholders

3

STANDARDIZE  
assessments

4

CONSIDER  
socio-economic data

5

SET  
criteria

6

Brought to you by

[info@cii-reach-osh.eu](mailto:info@cii-reach-osh.eu)  
[www.cii-reach-osh.eu](http://www.cii-reach-osh.eu)

**Cii** REACH  
OSH

Cross-Industry Initiative  
for better regulation in chemicals management

Guidance



Effective



Predictable



Consistent

RMOAs contribute to **better chemicals management**.

- The **long-term success** of RMOAs depends on their effectiveness, predictability and consistency.
- **Consistency of RMOAs** is key to enable all authorities involved to take the most appropriate measures in protecting human health and the environment.



Publishing the existing **RMOA template** and **supporting guidance** would clarify the scope of the RMOA as well as enable authorities and industry alike to gather the requisite data for a **swifter and more efficient** process.

CLARIFY  
the RMOA scope

1

The **information required by authorities** during RMOAs goes beyond what is required to be included in REACH registration dossiers. To **prepare the information** before the conduct of the RMOAs and to help speed it up, companies need to understand what is expected from them.

SPECIFY  
clear data requirements

2

RMOAs should include a **public consultation**, which would enable the collection of information at an early stage. It is a good practice to have **follow-up meetings** with respondents to the public consultation to clarify open questions and refine their understanding.

INVOLVE  
stakeholders

3

The assessment in the RMOA should follow a **standardised risk assessment framework**, which can be included in the guidance. This would ensure that the risk assessment is done for the EU, rather than from a national perspective.

STANDARDIZE  
assessments

4

The consideration of **socio-economic impacts** would permit the **assessment** of both the **benefits and the costs of action** for the chosen Risk Management Option. The absence of alternatives to the use of the substances should be assessed at least at screening level, as it may impact the socio-economic conclusions.

CONSIDER  
socio-economic data

5

A set of **criteria** that help in choosing the **most appropriate risk management option**, within and beyond those provided under REACH, including within other sectorial regulatory frameworks.

SET  
criteria

6